Pre-contractual disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Sustainable

investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The EU Taxonomy is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities.

That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

**Product name:** 

AMUNDI RESPONSIBLE INVESTING - EURO CORPORATE BOND CLIMATE

Legal entity identifier:

969500TP4IUTPT781N39

## Environmental and/or social characteristics

Does this financial product have a sus	stainable investment objective?  No
It will make a minimum of sustainable investments with a environmental objective:%  in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy  in economic activities that not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	It promotes Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it does not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it will have a minimum proportion of51% of sustainable investments  with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable
	with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
It will make a minimum of sustainable investments with a social objective:%	with a social objective  It promotes E/S characteristics, but will not make any sustainable investments



What environmental and/or social characteristics are promoted by this financial product?

The Sub-fund applies an environmental thematic approach aimed at reducing the carbon intensity of its portfolio by aligning the intensity of its carbon footprint with that of the BLOOMBERG MSCI EURO CORPORATE CLIMATE TRANSITION EVIC INTENSITY INDEX.

In addition to this environmental aspect, the management team also integrates sustainability factors into its investment process by considering the issuers' ESG rating. The ESG analysis of issuers seeks to assess their ability to manage the potential adverse impact of their activities on sustainability factors. The aim of the analysis is to evaluate their ESG performance by assigning them an ESG rating

ranging from A (best rating) to G (worst rating), so that a broader risk assessment may be carried out. This analysis includes a set of generic criteria applicable to all issuers as well as criteria specific to each sector, based on a "best-in-class" approach.

With its theme related to decarbonisation (through the integration of data related to the climate transition), the Sub-fund promotes environmental characteristics while taking into account the overall ESG rating of the issuers in the decision making process in order to exclude the least virtuous issuers in terms of the three aspects (environmental, social and governance).

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

### What sustainability indicators are used to measure the attainment of each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

Achievement of the promoted characteristics is measured at two levels:

- firstly, the carbon intensity reduction objective with an initial reduction of 30% with respect to the reference benchmark followed by a reduction of 7% per year on average;
- then by the portfolio's average ESG rating, which must be higher than the average ESG rating of the investment universe (the average rating of the investment universe is calculated after eliminating at least the 25% lowest-rated issuers. From 01/01/2026, this percentage of lowest-rated securities will increase to 30%.).

Amundi has developed its own internal ESG rating process based on the "best-in-class" approach. Ratings are adapted to each sector in order to assess the dynamics in which the companies operate.

Amundi's seven ESG ratings are used to determine the ESG score range from A (the best score in the investment universe) to G (the worst score). On the Amundi ESG rating scale, securities on the exclusion list are rated G.

For corporate issuers, ESG performance is broadly assessed according to the relevant criteria by comparison with the average performance for their business sector, by combining the three ESG aspects:

- the environmental aspect: this examines the issuer's ability to mitigate its direct and indirect impact on the environment by limiting its energy consumption, reducing its greenhouse gas emissions, combating resource depletion and protecting biodiversity;
- the social aspect: this measures how an issuer operates on the basis of two distinct concepts: the issuer's strategy for developing its human capital and its respect for human rights in general;
- the governance aspect: this assesses the issuer's ability to lay the foundations for an effective corporate governance framework and to generate value over the long term.

Amundi's ESG analysis framework comprises 38 criteria, including 17 general criteria (cross-sector) and 21 specific criteria (applicable to certain sectors only). ESG analysis must focus on material criteria depending on a company's business activity and sector to be effective. The weighting of ESG criteria is therefore a critical element of our ESG analysis framework. To this end we isolated 68 sectors, each with their own materiality matrix that sets out the weighting and criteria used from among these 38 criteria. The weighting of each pillar (E, S or G) is the result of this materiality matrix and can therefore vary significantly from one business sector to another. In exceptional cases, an E, S or G pillar may have a weighting of less than 20%. ESG analysts review the selection and weighting of criteria for each business sector at least every 18 months. This ensures that the criteria and their weightings remain relevant. For more information, please refer to Amundi's Responsible Investment Policy, available at <a href="https://www.amundi.fr">www.amundi.fr</a>.

What are the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially intends to make and how does the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The objective of sustainable investments at Amundi is to invest in companies and/or economic players with business models and activities that contribute to supporting positive environmental or social objectives, by meeting two criteria:

- 1. They follow good environmental and social practices; and
- 2. They do not produce products or provide services that are harmful to the environment or society.

For a company and/or economic player to be deemed to contribute to the above objective, it must be the "best" in its business sector for at least one of its material environmental or social factors.

The definition "best" is based on Amundi's proprietary ESG methodology, which seeks to measure a company's ESG performance. To be considered the "best", a company must be scored the highest among the top three ratings (A, B or C, on a rating scale from A to G) in its sector for at least one major environmental or social factor. Major environmental and social factors are identified at the sector level. The identification of major factors is based on Amundi's ESG analysis framework, which combines non-financial data and a qualitative analysis of the associated sector-based and sustainability themes. Factors identified as major account for more than 10% of the overall ESG score. For the health sector for example, these major factors are: emissions and energy, biodiversity and pollution, working conditions, product and customer responsibility, and community engagement and human rights. For a more complete overview of the sectors and factors, please refer to Amundi's ESG Regulatory Statement available at <a href="https://www.amundi.fr">www.amundi.fr</a>.

The sustainability of an investment is assessed at company level. To be deemed a sustainable investment, a company must meet the above objectives and must not have significant exposure to activities that are considered to be incompatible with the long-term sustainable development goals (i.e. production and distribution of carbon-intensive energy, tobacco, weapons, gambling, coal, aviation, meat production, fertiliser and pesticide production, manufacture of single-use plastics).

How do the sustainable investments that the financial product partially intends to make, not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

To ensure that sustainable investments do not cause significant harm ("do no significant harm" or "DNSH"), Amundi uses two filters:

- The first DNSH filter is based on monitoring the mandatory indicators for the principal adverse impacts set out in Table 1 of Annex I of the RTS
  - Where reliable data are available (e.g. the greenhouse gas or GHG intensity of companies) through a combination of indicators (e.g. carbon intensity) and specific rules or thresholds (e.g. that the carbon intensity of the company is not in the bottom decile for the sector).
  - Amundi already takes into account specific principal adverse impacts in its exclusion
    policy as part of its Responsible Investment Policy. These exclusions, which are
    applied in addition to the tests detailed above, cover the following topics: exclusions
    on controversial weapons, violations of United Nations Global Compact principles,
    coal, unconventional fuels and tobacco.

Moreover, companies and economic players exposed to severe controversies related to working conditions, human rights, biodiversity and pollution are not considered sustainable investments.

- The objective of the second filter is to verify that a company does not perform poorly from

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anticorruption and anti-

bribery matters.

an overall environmental or social standpoint compared to other companies within its sector. This corresponds to an environmental or social score of E or higher using Amundi's ESG rating scale.

This approach is specific to investments in securities. With respect to investments in funds managed by third-party managers, Amundi relies on the policies applied by the external manager.

How have the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors been taken into account?

At Amundi, the adverse impact indicators are taken into account as detailed in the first DNSH filter above.

The first DNSH filter is based on monitoring the mandatory indicators for the principal adverse impacts set out in Table 1 of Annex I of the RTS, where reliable data are available through a combination of indicators and the following specific rules or thresholds:

- Have a CO<sub>2</sub> intensity that is not in the bottom decile of companies in its sector (only applies to high-intensity sectors), and
- Have a Board of Directors, the diversity of which is not in the bottom decile of companies in its sector, and
- Not be the subject of controversies concerning working conditions and human rights.
- Not be the subject of controversies concerning biodiversity and pollution.

Amundi already takes into account specific principal adverse impacts in its exclusion policy as part of its Responsible Investment Policy. These exclusions, which are applied in addition to the tests detailed above, cover the following topics: exclusions on controversial weapons, violations of United Nations Global Compact principles, coal, unconventional fuels and tobacco.

How are the sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights are integrated into Amundi's ESG rating methodology. Our proprietary ESG rating tool assesses issuers using data available from our data providers. For example, the model includes a dedicated criterion called "Community engagement and human rights". This applies to all sectors together with other human rights criteria, including socially responsible supply chains, working conditions and labour relations. In addition, controversy monitoring is carried out at least once a quarter and includes companies that have been flagged for human rights violations. When controversies arise, analysts assess the situation and assign it a rating (using our proprietary scoring methodology) to determine the best course of action. Controversy ratings are updated quarterly to keep track of developments and remediation efforts.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do no significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives. It is accompanied by specific EU criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



### Does this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Yes, the financial product takes into account all of the mandatory principal adverse impacts set out in Table 1 of Annex I of the RTS applicable to the strategy of the financial product. It relies, directly or indirectly, on a combination of exclusion policies (norm-based and sector-based), the integration of ESG ratings within the investment process, and engagement and voting approaches:

- Exclusion: Amundi has defined rules for norm-based exclusions based on activity and sector, covering some of the main negative sustainability indicators listed in the SFDR Regulation.
- **Integration of ESG factors:** Amundi has adopted the minimum ESG integration standards applied by default to its actively managed open-ended funds (exclusion of G-rated issuers and weighted average ESG score above the applicable reference benchmark). The 38 criteria used in Amundi's ESG rating approach have also been designed to take into account the key impacts on sustainability factors, as well as the quality of mitigation measures taken in this regard.
- Engagement: Engagement is an ongoing, targeted process aimed at influencing the activities or behaviour of companies. The aim of engagement can be divided into two categories: engaging with an issuer to improve how it integrates the environmental and social aspects, and engaging with an issuer to improve its impact on environmental, social and human rights issues or other sustainability issues of importance to wider society and the global economy.
- Voting: Amundi's voting policy is based on a holistic analysis of all the long-term issues that could influence value creation, including material ESG issues. For more information, please see Amundi's Voting Policy<sup>1</sup>.
- Controversy monitoring: Amundi has developed a controversy monitoring system
  that uses three external data providers to systematically monitor the development of
  controversies and their level of severity. This quantitative approach is reinforced by
  an in-depth assessment of each severe controversy by ESG analysts, followed by a
  periodic review of any developments. This approach is applied to all Amundi funds.

For details on how to use the mandatory indicators for the principal adverse impacts, please refer to Amundi's ESG Regulatory Statement available at <a href="https://www.amundi.fr">www.amundi.fr</a>

	No



#### What investment strategy does this financial product follow?

This product aims to increase the value of your investment over the recommended holding period, while seeking to help reduce the portfolio's carbon footprint.

The investment strategy guides investment decisions based on factors such as investment objectives and risk tolerance.

What are the binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

The Sub-fund first fully applies the Amundi exclusion policy:

- regulatory exclusions on controversial weapons,
- exclusion of companies in breach of the United Nations Global Compact,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://about.amundi.com/files/nuxeo/dl/0522366c-29d3-471d-85fd-7ec363c20646

- Amundi Group sector-based exclusions on fossil fuels (e.g. coal and unconventional hydrocarbons), tobacco and weapons (e.g. nuclear weapons and depleted uranium weapons).

Details of this policy can be found in the Amundi Group Responsible Investment Policy available on the website at www.amundi.fr.

The Sub-fund also applies exclusions to companies that derive a certain share of their turnover from fossil fuels (gas, oil etc.).

These exclusions are provided for in Article 12, paragraph 1, points (a) to (g) of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2020/1818 of 17 July 2020 supplementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards minimum standards for EU Climate Transition Benchmarks and EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks. However, the Sub-fund may invest in bond issues specifically intended to finance green, social and/or sustainable projects issued by companies involved in activities considered to be non-compliant with the Paris Climate Accords.

In addition, the UCI applies the exclusions in Appendix 7 of the SRI label framework. Details of the exclusions are available on the label website:

https://www.lelabelisr.fr/wp-content/uploads/EN\_Referentiel-Label-ISR-mars24.pdf

The following are excluded from the investment universe: - issuers that have not declared an objective of reducing their carbon footprint;

- issuers with an ESG rating and a Just Transition rating (under the criteria of the Social "S" pillar) lower than or equal to F on a rating scale from A to G, in which A is the best rating.

The Sub-fund also applies the following ESG integration rules:

- Exclusion of issuers rated F and G at purchase;
- Thematic approach: Carbon intensity reduction aligned with the objectives of a Climate Transition Benchmark (CTB), i.e. an initial reduction of 30% with respect to the reference benchmark followed by a reduction of 7% per year on average;
- The "rating improvement" approach: the weighted average ESG rating of the portfolio must be higher than the weighted average ESG rating of the investment universe of the Sub-fund after eliminating the 25% lowest-rated issuers. From 01/01/2026, this percentage of lowest-rated securities will increase to 30%;;
- the Just Transition rating: under the criterion of the Social "S" pillar, the weighted average of the portfolio must be higher than the weighted average Just Transition rating of the reference benchmark;
- at least 90% of the portfolio securities must have an ESG rating, a carbon footprint measurement and a Just Transition rating.

Lastly, under the SRI label, the Sub-fund must outperform the investment universe on the following two sustainability indicators relating to adverse impacts:

- 1. Greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity of the investee companies (tCO2e/€m turnover);
- 2. Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives (%).

### **Good governance** practices include sound management structures, employee relations,

remuneration of staff and tax compliance.

### What is the committed minimum rate to reduce the scope of the investments considered prior to the application of that investment strategy?

There is no committed minimum rate to reduce the scope of these investments.

#### What is the policy to assess good governance practices of the investee companies?

To assess good governance practices of the companies, Amundi has developed an ESG rating methodology. Amundi's ESG rating is based on a proprietary ESG analysis framework, which takes into account 38 general and sector-based criteria, including governance criteria. For the governance aspect, we assess the issuer's ability to provide an effective corporate governance framework that ensures that it will achieve its long-term objectives (e.g. that maintains the issuer's value over the

long term). The governance sub-criteria taken into account are: the board structure, audit and control, remuneration, shareholders' rights, ethics, tax practices and ESG strategy. Amundi's ESG rating scale consists of seven ratings, ranging from A to G, where A is the best rating and G is the worst. G-rated companies are excluded from the investment universe.

Each corporate security (shares, bonds, single-issuer derivatives, ETF shares and ESG bonds) included in the portfolios has been assessed for good governance practices by applying a filter for compliance with United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) principles to the issuer in question. The assessment is ongoing. Every month, Amundi's ESG Rating Committee reviews the lists of companies that do not comply with the UNGC and are therefore downgraded to a G rating. Investment is systematically withdrawn from G-rated securities within a period of 90 days.

This approach is supplemented by Amundi's Management Policy (engagement and voting) relating to governance.

This approach is specific to investments in securities. With respect to investments in funds managed by third-party managers, Amundi relies on the policies applied by the external manager.

### What is the asset allocation planned for this financial product?

At least 90% of the UCI's securities and instruments undergo ESG analysis and are therefore aligned with the environmental or social characteristics promoted, in line with the binding elements of the investment strategy. In addition, the UCI undertakes that sustainable investments will account for at least 51% of net assets.

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- turnover reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies;
- capital expenditure (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy;
- operational expenditure (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.



**#1** Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

**#2 Other** includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics covers:

- The sub-category #1A Sustainable covers sustainable investments with environmental or social objectives.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

How does the use of derivatives attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product?

Derivatives are not used to attain the ESG objective of the Sub-fund.

### M

### To what minimum extent are sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

There is currently no minimum commitment to sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy.

As illustrated below, there is no commitment to making Taxonomy-compliant investments in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy. However, as part of the investment strategy, investments may be made in companies that are also active in these sectors. Such investments may or may not be aligned with the Taxonomy.


Does the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy<sup>2</sup>?


☐ Yes:	
☐ In fossil gas	☐ In nuclear energy
X No	

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

The two graphs below show in green the minimum percentage of investments that are aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the Taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds\*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





<sup>\*</sup> For the purpose of these graphs, "sovereign bonds" consist of all sovereign exposures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

What is the minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities?



There is no minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities.

The symbol represents sustainable investments with an environmental objective that do not take into account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities

under the EU Taxonomy.

### What is the minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The Sub-fund currently has no minimum commitment to sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy.



#### What is the minimum share of socially sustainable investments?

The Sub-fund has no minimum share of sustainable investments with a social objective.



### What investments are included under "#2 Other", what is their purpose and are there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

"#2 Other" consists of cash and instruments used for liquidity and portfolio risk management purposes. The category may also include securities without an ESG rating for which data needed to measure the attainment of environmental or social characteristics are not available.

There are no minimum environmental or social safeguards.



# Is a specific index designated as a reference benchmark to determine whether this financial product is aligned with the environmental and/or social characteristics that it promotes?

The index used to assess the Sub-fund's climate performance is a Climate Transition Benchmark (CTB) that incorporates specific objectives related to emission reduction and the transition to a low-carbon economy through the selection and weighting of the underlying components.

How is the reference benchmark continuously aligned with each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product?

The sustainable investment objective is achieved by aligning the Sub-fund's carbon footprint reduction objectives with the BLOOMBERG MSCI EURO CORPORATE CLIMATE TRANSITION EVIC INTENSITY INDEX.

The intensity of the portfolio's carbon footprint, calculated as an asset-weighted portfolio average, is compared to that of the Index. As a result, securities with a relatively low environmental footprint are more likely to be selected for the portfolio than securities with a relatively high environmental footprint.

How is the alignment of the investment strategy with the methodology of the index ensured on a continuous basis?

The portfolio's carbon intensity level must be lower than or equal to that of the Bloomberg MSCI Euro Corporate Climate Transition EVIC Intensity Index.

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

#### How does the designated index differ from a relevant broad market index?

A broad market index does not assess or include its components according to environmental characteristics, and therefore is not aligned with environmental characteristics. The index used is a Climate Transition Benchmark that incorporates specific objectives related to emission reduction and the transition to a low-carbon economy through the selection and weighting of the underlying components.

### Where can the methodology used for the calculation of the designated index be found?

The methodology used to calculate the designated index is available at https://assets.bbhub.io/professional/sites/10/Bloomberg-MSCI-Fixed-Income-Climate-Transition-and-Paris-Aligned-Methodology.pdf



Where can I find more product specific information online?

More product-specific information can be found on the website: www.amundi.com